

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMEN United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,499		07/17/2003	Karl-Heinz Danger	35917	2620
116	7590	05/10/2005		EXAM	INER
PEARNE				WILSON,	JOHN J
SUITE 120		EEI	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELA	ND, OH	44114-3108	3732	-	

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			W			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/621,499	DANGER, KARL-HEINZ			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		John J. Wilson	3732			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timent of thirty (30) days within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ju	ıly 2003.				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7)LJ	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alaction requirement				
8)∟	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	* * *				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority :	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	u-(d) or (f)			
•		phonty under 55 5.5.5. § 119(a)	(u) or (i).			
,	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau					
* (	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			

Attachment(s)	
1) 🔯 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/15/03</u> .	6)  Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/621,499

Art Unit: 3732

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 and 9-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aeby (5692902) in view of Frazin-Nia et al (6315558). Aeby shows an instrument 1, coiled cutting edge 2, the cutting edges having convex connecting sides as shown in Fig. 6. Aeby shows three cutting edges connected by three sides, and therefore, does not show two opposite edges as claimed. Frazin-Nia teaches different embodiments' including a two edge embodiment as shown in Fig. 2c, as an alternative to three or four edge embodiments. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Aeby to include having only two cutting edges as shown by Frazin-Nia in order to make use of known alternatives in the art. As to claim 2, the range of tangent angle of the cutting edges is an obvious matter of choice in the degree of a known parameter to the skilled artisan.

Claims 8 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aeby (5692902) in view of Frazin-Nia et al (6315558) as applied to claims 1 and 2 above, and further in view of Buchanan (5836764). The above combination does not show using a non-cutting front portion. Buchanan shows a non-cutting front portion 64, Fig. 4F, column 14, lines 58-62.

Art Unit: 3732

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the above combination to include a non-cutting tip as shown by Buchanan in order to not damage the apical tissue.

## **Drawings**

The drawings filed July 17, 2003 have been found to be acceptable by the examiner.

### **Priority**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Heath (5762497), Fig. 9, Cohen et al (6042376), Fig. 6, and Hoppe et al (6702579), Fig. 3, show convex sides.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Wilson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4722. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver, can be reached at (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Application/Control Number: 10/621,499

Art Unit: 3732

Page 4

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John J. Wilson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

jjw May 2, 2005